

# World Housing Encyclopedia

*A Resource on Construction in Earthquake Regions*



an initiative of  
Earthquake Engineering Research Institute (EERI) and  
International Association for Earthquake Engineering (IAEE)

---

## HOUSING REPORT

### **Precast RC frame buildings (Giron and SAE)**

---

<b>Report#</b>	188
<b>Last Updated</b>	01/26/2016
<b>Country</b>	Cuba
<b>Author(s)</b>	Grisel Morejon Blanco, Kenia Leyva Chang, Dario Candebat Sanchez, Zulima Rivera Alvarez, Yelena Berenguer Heredia, Madelin Villalon Semanat, Dominik H. Lang, Abdelghani Meslem,
<b>Reviewers</b>	Jaiswal, Kishor,

---

### **Important**

This encyclopedia contains information contributed by various earthquake engineering professionals around the world. All opinions, findings, conclusions & recommendations

expressed herein are those of the various participants, and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Earthquake Engineering Research Institute, the International Association for Earthquake Engineering, the Engineering Information Foundation, John A, Martin & Associates, Inc. or the participant's organizations.

## **General Information**

<b>Building Type:</b>	Precast RC frame buildings (Giron and SAE)
<b>Country:</b>	Cuba
<b>Author(s):</b>	Grisel Morejon Blanco Kenia Leyva Chang Dario Candebat Sanchez Zulima Rivera Alvarez Yelena Berenguer Heredia Madelin Villalon Semanat Dominik H. Lang Abdelghani Meslem
<b>Last Updated:</b>	01/26/2016
<b>Regions Where Found:</b>	Santiago de Cuba
<b>Summary:</b>	Precast RC frames in one direction with RC walls in one or two directions. Infill walls are made of hollow concrete blocks or rectangular fired clay bricks
<b>Length of time practiced:</b>	25-60 years
<b>Still Practiced:</b>	Yes
<b>In practice as of:</b>	G, GP: ~1970 -1990SAE: ~1990
<b>Building Occupancy:</b>	Other
<b>Typical number of stories:</b>	1-5
<b>Terrain-Flat:</b>	
<b>Terrain-Sloped:</b>	
<b>Comments:</b>	

## **Features**

<b>Plan Shape</b>	Rectangular, solidL-shapeU- or C-shape
<b>Additional comments on plan shape</b>	

<b>Typical plan length (meters)</b>	
<b>Typical plan width (meters)</b>	
<b>Typical story height (meters)</b>	
<b>Type of Structural System</b>	Structural Concrete: Precast Concrete: Moment frame
<b>Additional comments on structural system</b>	Gravity: Precast RC slabs, transferring the gravity loads to the beams and columns and finally to the footings Lateral: The longitudinal direction comprises of squared (0.5 x 0.5 - 0.6 x 0.6 m) column pedestals which form a stiff connection towards individual footing plates with dimensions of ~2 x 2 m; the footing plates provide lateral stiffness by passive soil pressure and friction; sometimes the first story has column dimensions 0.35 x 0.60 m while the upper stories have column dimensions of 0.3 x 0.4 m.
<b>Gravity load-bearing &amp; lateral load-resisting systems</b>	Precast dual system; the structural system comprises of rectangular columns, T-beams and floor slabs with ribs and shear walls
<b>Typical wall densities in direction 1</b>	>20%
<b>Typical wall densities in direction 2</b>	>20%
<b>Additional comments on typical wall densities</b>	
<b>Wall Openings</b>	
<b>Is it typical for buildings of this type to have common walls with adjacent buildings?</b>	
<b>Modifications of buildings</b>	
<b>Type of Foundation</b>	Other Foundation
<b>Additional comments on foundation</b>	The foundation system consists of spread footings with pedestals.
<b>Type of Floor System</b>	Precast concrete floor without reinforced concrete topping
<b>Additional comments on</b>	Prefabricated floor slab elements are supported by concrete beams; slab continuity is established by

**floor system**

cast-in-situ concrete with horizontal lap-spliced reinforcement in the upper layer.

**Type of Roof System**

Precast concrete roof without reinforced concrete topping

**Additional comments on roof system**

Prefabricated floor slab elements are supported by concrete beams; slab continuity is established by cast-in-situ concrete with horizontal lap-spliced reinforcement in the upper layer.

**Additional comments section 2**



**Building Materials and Construction Process**

**Description of Building Materials**

<b>Structural Element</b>	<b>Building Material (s)</b>	<b>Comment (s)</b>
Wall/Frame		
Foundations		
Floors		
Roof		
Other		

### **Design Process**

<b>Who is involved with the design process?</b>	Owner
<b>Roles of those involved in the design process</b>	
<b>Expertise of those involved in the design process</b>	

### **Construction Process**

<b>Who typically builds this construction type?</b>	Other
<b>Roles of those involved in the building process</b>	
<b>Expertise of those involved in building process</b>	
<b>Construction process and phasing</b>	
<b>Construction issues</b>	

### **Building Codes and Standards**

<b>Is this construction type address by codes/standards?</b>	Yes
<b>Applicable codes or standards</b>	NC 53-114:84
<b>Process for building code enforcement</b>	

## Building Permits and Development Control Rules

<b>Are building permits required?</b>	
<b>Is this typically informal construction?</b>	
<b>Is this construction typically authorized as per development control rules?</b>	
<b>Additional comments on building permits and development control rules</b>	

## Building Maintenance and Condition

<b>Typical problems associated with this type of construction</b>	
<b>Who typically maintains buildings of this type?</b>	Other
<b>Additional comments on maintenance and building condition</b>	

## Construction Economics

<b>Unit construction cost</b>	12 CUC/m <sup>2</sup>
<b>Labor requirements</b>	
<b>Additional comments section 3</b>	

## Socio-Economic Issues

<b>Patterns of occupancy</b>	
<b>Number of inhabitants in a typical building of this construction type during the day</b>	>20
<b>Number of inhabitants in a typical building of this</b>	<5

<b>construction type during the evening/night</b>	✓
<b>Additional comments on number of inhabitants</b>	
<b>Economic level of inhabitants</b>	Low-income class (poor)
<b>Additional comments on economic level of inhabitants</b>	
<b>Typical Source of Financing</b>	Other
<b>Additional comments on financing</b>	
<b>Type of Ownership</b>	Other
<b>Additional comments on ownership</b>	
<b>Is earthquake insurance for this construction type typically available?</b>	No
<b>What does earthquake insurance typically cover/cost</b>	
<b>Are premium discounts or higher coverages available for seismically strengthened buildings or new buildings built to incorporate seismically resistant features?</b>	
<b>Additional comments on premium discounts</b>	
<b>Additional comments section 4</b>	

## Earthquakes

### Past Earthquakes in the country which affected buildings of this type

Year	Earthquake Epicenter

## Past Earthquakes

### Damage patterns observed in past earthquakes for this construction type

Some damage was observed during a moderate earthquake; the main damage patterns consisted of fine cracks in infill walls, mainly starting from corners of openings and vertical fine cracks at wall corners; fine cracks in the seismic structural joints.

### Additional comments on earthquake damage patterns

## Structural and Architectural Features for Seismic Resistance

The main reference publication used in developing the statements used in this table is FEMA 310 "Handbook for the Seismic Evaluation of Buildings-A Pre-standard", Federal Emergency Management Agency, Washington, D.C., 1998.

The total width of door and window openings in a wall is: For brick masonry construction in cement mortar : less than  $\frac{1}{2}$  of the distance between the adjacent cross walls; For adobe masonry, stone masonry and brick masonry in mud mortar: less than  $\frac{1}{3}$  of the distance between the adjacent cross walls; For precast concrete wall structures: less than  $\frac{3}{4}$  of the length of a perimeter wall.

Structural/Architectural Feature	Statement	Seismic Resistance
Lateral load path	The structure contains a complete load path for seismic force effects from any horizontal direction that serves to transfer inertial forces from the building to the foundation.	
Building Configuration-Vertical	The building is regular with regards to the elevation. (Specify in 5.4.1)	
Building Configuration-Horizontal	The building is regular with regards to the plan. (Specify in 5.4.2)	
Roof Construction	The roof diaphragm is considered to be rigid	

and it is expected that the roof structure will maintain its integrity, i.e. shape and form, during an earthquake of intensity expected in this area.

Floor Construction	The floor diaphragm(s) are considered to be rigid and it is expected that the floor structure(s) will maintain its integrity during an earthquake of intensity expected in this area.	
Foundation Performance	There is no evidence of excessive foundation movement (e.g. settlement) that would affect the integrity or performance of the structure in an earthquake.	
Wall and Frame Structures-Redundancy	The number of lines of walls or frames in each principal direction is greater than or equal to 2.	
Wall Proportions	Height-to-thickness ratio of the shear walls at each floor level is: Less than 25 (concrete walls); Less than 30 (reinforced masonry walls); Less than 13 (unreinforced masonry walls);	
Foundation-Wall Connection	Vertical load-bearing elements (columns, walls) are attached to the foundations; concrete columns and walls are doveled into the foundation.	
Wall-Roof Connections	Exterior walls are anchored for out-of-plane seismic effects at each diaphragm level with metal anchors or	

straps.

Wall Openings		
Quality of Building Materials	Quality of building materials is considered to be adequate per the requirements of national codes and standards (an estimate).	
Quality of Workmanship	Quality of workmanship (based on visual inspection of a few typical buildings) is considered to be good (per local construction standards).	
Maintenance	Buildings of this type are generally well maintained and there are no visible signs of deterioration of building elements (concrete, steel, timber).	

## Building Irregularities

<b>Additional comments on structural and architectural features for seismic resistance</b>		
<b>Vertical irregularities typically found in this construction type</b>	Other	
<b>Horizontal irregularities typically found in this construction type</b>	Other	
<b>Seismic deficiency in walls</b>	The system has a non-ductile behavior; the joint column-column does not guarantee the correct transmission of seismic loads; the joint exhibits weak column-strong beam behavior; the GP variant (with open ground floor) is more vulnerable due to the presence of a soft story; the shear walls have major window openings that are susceptible for failure, considering their poor connections with frame members; in the transverse direction: concrete shear walls with thickness 0.1 m distributed at given axes, these walls are vulnerable for failure to earthquake.	

<b>Earthquake-resilient features in walls</b>	
<b>Seismic deficiency in frames</b>	
<b>Earthquake-resilient features in frame</b>	
<b>Seismic deficiency in roof and floors</b>	
<b>Earthquake resilient features in roof and floors</b>	
<b>Seismic deficiency in foundation</b>	The way the foundations are built does not allow the construction of beams that meet the requirements for a seismic design.
<b>Earthquake-resilient features in foundation</b>	

### Seismic Vulnerability Rating

For information about how seismic vulnerability ratings were selected see the [Seismic Vulnerability Guidelines](#)

	<b>High vulnerability</b>		<b>Medium vulnerability</b>		<b>Low vulnerability</b>	
	A	B	C	D	E	F
Seismic vulnerability class			0			

### Retrofit Information

#### Description of Seismic Strengthening Provisions

<b>Structural Deficiency</b>	<b>Seismic Strengthening</b>

<b>Additional comments on seismic strengthening provisions</b>	
--	--

**Has seismic**

**strengthening described in the above table been performed?**

**Was the work done as a mitigation effort on an undamaged building or as a repair following earthquake damages?**

**Was the construction inspected in the same manner as new construction?**

**Who performed the construction: a contractor or owner/user? Was an architect or engineer involved?**

**What has been the performance of retrofitted buildings of this type in subsequent earthquakes?**

**Additional comments section 6**

## **References**

- Brzev, S., Scawthorn, C., Charleson, A.W., and Jaiswal, K. (2012). GEM basic building taxonomy, Report produced in the context of the GEM Ontology and Taxonomy Global Component project, 45 pp.
- Cuban National Bureau of Standards (2013). Norma Cubana NC46: 2013, Construcciones sismoresistentes - Requisitos basicos para el diseno y construccon, 1. Edicion, January 2013, Oficina Nacional de Normalizacion (NC), Habana, Cuba.
- Jaiswal, K.S., and Wald, D.J. (2008). Creating a global building inventory for earthquake loss assessment and risk management, U.S. Geological Survey Open-file report 2008-1160, 106 pp.
- Lang D.H., Meslem, A., Lindholm C., Blanco, G.M., Chang, K.L., Sanchez, D.C., and Alvarez, Z.R. (2015). Earthquake Loss Evaluation (ELE) for the City of Santiago de Cuba (Cuba), Report no. 15-015, Kjeller - Santiago de Cuba, October 2015, 90pp.
- Medina A., Escobar E., Ortiz G. Ramirez M., Duiasz L., Mondelo F., Montejo N., Rodriguez H., Guevara T. and Acosta J. (1999). Reconocimiento geologo-geofisico de la cuenca de Santiago de Cuba, con fines de Riesgo Sismico. Empresa Geominera de Oriente, Santiago de Cuba. 32 pp.
- Mendez I., Ortiz G., Aguller M., Rodriguez E., Llull E., Guevara T., Lopez T., Guilart M.,

Mustelier M., Gentoiu M. and Lay M. (2001). Base de datos digital de los levantamientos regionales de Cuba Oriental. Empresa Geologo-Minera de Oriente (E.G.M.O.) y Oficina Nacional de Recursos Minerales (O.N.R.M).

Morejon Blanco, G., Leyva Chang, K., Candebat Sanchez, D., Rivera Alvarez, Z., Berenguer Heredia, Y., Villalon Semanat, M., Lang, D.H., and Meslem, A. (2015). Building Classification Scheme for the City of Santiago de Cuba (Cuba), Report no. 15-010, Kjeller - Santiago de Cuba, August 2015, 30 pp.

SNIP (1963). Construction in Seismic Regions: Norms of Designing, SNIP II-A. 12-62, Moscow, 1963.

## **Authors**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Affiliation</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Email</b>
Grisel Morejon Blanco	Vice Director	Centro Nacional de Investigaciones Sismologicas (CENAIIS)	Santiago de Cuba, Cuba	
Kenia Leyva Chang	Specialist for Science, Technology and Environment	Centro Nacional de Investigaciones Sismologicas (CENAIIS)	Santiago de Cuba, Cuba	
Dario Candebat Sanchez	Investigador Agregado	Centro Nacional de Investigaciones Sismologicas (CENAIIS)	Santiago de Cuba, Cuba	
Zulima Rivera Alvarez	Assistant Researcher	Centro Nacional de Investigaciones Sismologicas (CENAIIS)	Santiago de Cuba, Cuba	
Yelena Berenguer Heredia	Aspirante a Investigador	Centro Nacional de Investigaciones Sismologicas	Santiago de Cuba, Cuba	

		(CENAIS)	
Madelin Villalon Semanat	Investigador Agregado	Centro Nacional de Investigaciones Sismologicas (CENAIS)	Santiago de Cuba, Cuba
Dominik H. Lang	Head of Department, Earthquake Hazard and Risk	NORSAR	Kjeller, Norway
Abdelghani Meslem	Structural and Earthquake Engineer	NORSAR	Kjeller, Norway

## **Reviewers**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Affiliation</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Email</b>
Jaiswal, Kishor	Research Structural Engineer	U.S. Geological Survey (contracted through Synergetics Incorporated)	Golden CO, USA	kjaiswal@usgs.gov